1	COMMUNITY INPUT MEETING
2	
3	In the Matter of:
4	AUMAR VILLAGE DEVELOPMENT
5	
6	
7	* * * * * * * * *
8	
9	The within proceedings were held on December 21,
10	2009, commencing at 6:15 p.m., at the Fallston Library,
11	Fallston, Maryland.
12	
13	* * * * * * * * *
14	
15	944 <u></u>
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Reported by:
21	Penny L. Comeau

О

PROCEEDINGS

MR. CAPALONGO: Good evening, everyone. My name is Bob Capalongo. I am Chief Planner for CNA Engineers. I am here this evening to present the Aumar Village project.

About two years ago, Harford County instituted this process whereby, instead of having you try to fit in a room that accommodates about 30 people at the Development Advisory Committee hearing room in the main building in Bel Air, was to try to -- well, two reasons; mainly, to get you all -- to have you learn a little further ahead of time about the process and allow for a larger facility like this to allow for a larger group of folks to come in, and the benefit to you all is you are getting involved much earlier even than the D.A.C. process before you come to the D.A.C. meeting, whereas now -- you are typically two to four weeks from the time you do this to the actual D.A.C. meetings.

This is not a legal proceeding. We just wanted to come and present the project to you all. What I would like to do is make a presentation of a couple of things and hold your questions and comments until the end and then, once we get to that point in the process, have you all state your

•

•

name as required by the County law, state your name, and we will note your comments -- okay. Note your comment then.

Anyway, I would like to start by presenting the plan. If I can get a show of hands; how many folks have seen the plan on the website at this point or a hard copy? Less than half. Okay.

For those that have seen it, bear with me. I am going to present a general idea of where we are heading with this thing, and then we will go from there.

To orient you and make sure you are all oriented correctly, Route 1 here (indicating) which heads north/south. You've got 152, Mountain Road, which is heading -- I'm sorry. North/south -- 152 this (indicating) direction, east/west technically. Route 1 going that direction. So, we are on the northwest corner of the intersection of Route 1. No. This (indicating) is your north. Northwest. Okay.

Anyway, to get you oriented there; you've got the road network on the outside. Previously approved and presented to you all was a project -- we're showing this for

-

reference only and to show the access off Route 1;
but this proposed development was previously -- you should
have seen this at a previous Community Input Meeting. This
is proposed to be McDonald's, and they are on board to build
a McDonald's with a very nice prototype, which has been
presented to Greater Fallston Association, and probably
others have seen it. It is going to be a very nice product.

Then, we are proposing to -- originally, this was going to be a pharmacy here (indicating) at this location. It was originally approved as such. We will have to modify our plan for this at some point in the future once we have -- we are hoping to get a sit-down restaurant at that location. There are a number of different folks who might end up here. This may end up being a sit-down restaurant.

shown as reference only really to show the whole property in its context. You have got the McDonald's. You've got the sit-down restaurant here (indicating). This is an existing -- there's an auto sales and service location located here. We are just going to modify the lot line there and change it slightly, but the use is staying the same as it is today.

Ü

In addition to that, what's happened since is the pharmacy was going to be at this location, and they would like to be up here at this point and be a little bit larger than they were, where it was approved over in this (indicating) spot.

So, we are proposing a CVS or something like that here (indicating). We are still in discussions. These (indicating) folks are on board, and these folks are close to being on board. So, we are trying to accommodate those two folks at this point in time, start to accommodate those folks now. We are just waiting a little longer. The -- hello.

The balance of the project is -- right now, the thoughts are -- there are a number of banks that are interested in this site in general. You know, we have put them off to this location for now at this point, and then we have got a grocery store that we have -- once again, we have interest from a number of different parties for this store, and then we have got some retail that could come off the edge of here and maybe massage it a little bit; but treat this plan as a concept. It's really to establish -- the

site plan process, they really should call it

preliminary plan because you are basically establishing

preliminary -- we are establishing feel of the project, the

preliminary layout, the preliminary parking, circulation

through, in and out of the site, and the general uses they

are thinking about.

Once again, we haven't nailed down a specific bank for that. We have a couple of folks talking to the developer about that, but this establishes where it might

end up and how it might be configured.

We do have to meet the requirements at this level. Even with Harford County we have to meet the requirements for parking, for stacking at the drive-through bank, for instance; we have to have a minimum of -- minimum stacking per drive-through lane, per drive-through window, that kind of thing.

So this does meet County standards for that, but for parking, for circulation, for aisle widths, for parking space sizes, that kind of thing, handicap requirements, ADA requirements for handicap spaces. So, in that sense, we are -- they require us to go that far so that we are

(410) 838-3810

establishing -- we are not sort of willy nilly
saying: we think that will park it, but we are not sure,
but they make us do a certain level of detail to make sure
that it really will work in the end.

Under this overall plan, you had the access coming in initially and ending here. The plan is to continue that access through the site. This is going to be your main thoroughfare through here going in that direction. That is a right-in, right-out proposed off of 152 at this location.

It is farther back than was originally approved access here (indicating) which we are going to move back to this location farther away from the intersection when we are all done in the end.

The, uh -- when we -- we have done a traffic study for the overall development for all this together, and as put together, it does warrant a traffic signal. So that is not a guarantee to get a traffic signal there. We are certainly going to -- the developer has agreed to it, and we are going to approach the State about it.

Once again, the numbers that the State uses and the numbers the traffic consultant uses are the same standard

and, based on that, the traffic consultant has

determined that a traffic signal would be warranted at this

location. The State has to approve it, and we are going to

iron things out like the left-turn lane to make sure you got

the intersection with this location and the left turn lane

in.

Keep in mind, this is just a rough concept. This is not an engineered layout by any stretch as far as the length of the left-turn lane; but the proposal is to have -- in the end, have a signal here (indicating) when this is completely built out; and I will get to that in a second.

we are proposing to expand the facility. There is a stormwater facility that is approved at this location already for these (indicating) two uses and, when we go to the next use, which is going to be the pharmacy, we will make sure that we meet requirements for stormwater management to expand that facility a little bit in this direction; but one of the things that -- one of the concerns that you all had was the stormwater management component of this and, because of the location of the outfall and what we're taking our storm into, the required one is the

100-year storm. When I say 100-year storm, picture a really, really, really heavy storm, inches per hour, that you get once every hundred years. You're typically only required to manage a ten-year storm which is a much smaller event; but because of the tributary, we are required to manage the 100-year storm.

So, this is going to definitely handle what we need to handle and then, additionally, we're going to have a facility potentially at this location for the future probably that this (indicating) use and this use, it looks like, would have the capacity to put the facility here, once again, managing the 100-year storm also with that.

I guess at this point I will move on to the phasing. What we have talked about since we gave you all this and put it on the website was that we need to accommodate the pharmacy use as quickly as possible and, to keep things moving along for them, we have decided to phase this a little bit such that right now the only thing we are going to move forward with at this point, at least in the short term, is to accommodate a pharmacy use in the right-in, right-out on 152.

As I said, the site plans for these two uses, we're showing in reference -- we are showing our proposal tying into it, but we want to get this established. Once again, this will have to be -- we will have to modify our traffic study to just deal with the right in, right out for now; but the fact that we are showing you the full development, it helps you all get an idea of where we are heading down the road.

But, anyway, the plan is to create -- we are going to create a few lots here. In the future, this will be a lot for the bank, and this will be the balance of the lot to include the stormwater facilities in them, and will be for the grocery and retail use that will follow.

So, we have proposed to do a preliminary plan to establish those lots right now but not proposed development on those in the near term so that the signal issue will go away.

I can't give you a timing of when the other stuff is going to occur. It all depends on who comes to the table, what grocery chain. I would think the grocery chain -- the grocery chain would typically be the driver of the

next phase; so a lot is depending on when they -- we would have to work out something with them.

But the plan is to have a really nice looking product for the whole subdivision, the whole commercial project in general. As I said, even the McDonald's is going to be a stone and brick facade, a nicer product than they would typically put on a site. You know, we are going to be conscious of how things look as we move forward.

The developer is local, lives in Fallston. So, unlike some of these -- a lot of folks I have dealt with -- over the years in 23 years doing this. A lot of folks are from out of state and come in and do their thing, and they don't live and work in the community. Well, he does. So, there is a benefit to you all in that he is going to shop here, and he wants it to be a nice product.

Also, how many of you watched the green -- any of the green programs that are out there now? We are going to -- we are going to explore what is called Leadership in Energy efficient design -- I'm sorry. Energy and Environmental design. We are going to look at cisterns, for instance. One of the things the developer has requested we

 look at is utilizing cisterns for the project which would capture rainwater and reduce the amount of potable water used on the site in general.

I have done a number of projects where we have gone through and we've basically taken all the lead requirements for a project -- and not necessarily have become certified at a certain level, but explore all the lead potential for the project from a site work perspective.

Like I said, one things we are looking at is the cisterns for the uses for irrigation. You take the rainwater and use it and filter it enough to use it for irrigation in lieu of taking potable water out of -- using potable water for that.

The other typically concepts -- we're using what is called bio-retention areas for water quality. On something like this -- if I can bring this back up for second. What we are going to do is -- I don't know how many of you have gone to -- if you have driven around enough, you have probably seen a newer commercial or other project. If we focus on commercial, you have probably seen sites where you have got the -- where these islands are made into these

little sump areas. I did a lot of that at North
Harford High School. If anyone has been up at North Harford
High School, the west parking lot is all drains without
curbing. It is an open section, rural section, and it all
drains to a couple of areas where you have got some, what is
called, bio-retention issues, which is some mulch area with
some shrubbery in there, and it has got special soil
sections put in there to, number one, to provide water
quality, so that that flush of runoff, the cars, the oil
leak or the anti-freeze leak, it runs off into the facility,
percolates through that special soil section which is
replaced every so often to make sure it is effective.

There's two effects. One is you get some groundwater treatment, water quality treatment in that section, and you are also assisting in filtrating the water back into the ground versus taking it to one single hole, one single location where it overflows into a stream. You are letting water percolate into the soil more, which is one of the things that the new stormwater regulations coming in May, 2010, are going to force developers. Before, it was sort of a nice thing to do; but, now, it is going to be more

mandatory.

One of the things we are going to do there is provide some water quality upland. It will be a nice looking feature. You'll have to maintain it, but it will be a nice feature to have. It will be more rural looking if you have been to any of these sites that have it.

A lot of the parks -- started with the Federal Government and the State parks because the State parks -- there's a few of them I have been to in Baltimore County and one or two in Harford County that have the open section, you've got some wheel stops and water just flows into a sump area that is kept from -- mosquitos ending up in there because it has a perforated pipe that drains and keeps it from staying wet, but it will allow for a little more open section feel to this as you go forward.

You will have less typical commercial subidivisions where you just got a series of huge massive paving with very little green involved. So we are proposing to do a lot of that.

So, there is a multitude of other lead concepts we can try to institute here. The goal is to make this very

nice architecturally and also treat the site work
the same way so that it is a very nice -- you know, it will
have very nice entrance features.

The developer has come to me and said: I want this thing landscaped to the tee. I want this thing to be really nice. I am going to be shopping here with my wife, and I want this thing to look really good. So, you can see all the green. All this stuff you see here (indicating), it is going to be well landscaped, and it is something you will enjoy going to.

The last thing I wanted show you briefly was the the plat which we are going to -- just presentation of the plat. The preliminary plan -- it's called a preliminary plan. Before you create a plat, you do what is called a preliminary plan.

So, the proposal is, these (indicating) two are currently being platted now, and we would then plat this separately and then create these lots at the same time. So, I wanted to show you this just generally, for general reference.

Anyway, we -- the more I get into the project, the

1 mor 2 is

more excited I am getting about it, and I know it is a lot to absorb for you all; but we believe, in the end, it is going to be something that you all -- you will be proud to have, to drive to and then shop in, and you all will be proud of the project we end up with.

Real briefly. We had a Greater Fallston -- Steve attended a Greater Fallston Community meeting where -- a lot of you have seen the website -- we presented at that point, and I have got some concerns here I will go over briefly and try to cover to hopefully keep the questions down so I can address most of this stuff, the major concerns, and you all can have time to comment and question.

The other thing we had was we had a secondary meeting, invited folks to our offices, and Delta was the only one that showed up; but she had plenty of questions. She covered questions for about ten people but, anyway, the traffic impact, the major -- I have four major concerns that have sort of been consistently given to me. One is the traffic impact.

As it relates to traffic impact, this site shows the current road improvements that are designed and under

construction right now. It shows the double left and everything here (indicating). Then, the through lane. This shows the current alignment of the left turn lane coming down on the periphery of the project; but, as far as traffic goes, a couple of things to keep in mind. The State Highway Administration -- we'll talk about the warrant issue, the issue of the signal, and it warrants a signal based on background, what's out there today and what's proposed. It warrants a signal.

The State will be looking at it to make sure that this (indicating) left hand lane is adequate for that proposed intersection. I know that is one of the big concerns. So, once again, we will have to address that with the State. They will put us through the ringer on making sure that it's safe.

Once again, all that is public record as we move ahead. So, anyone who wants to get ahold of the study or the plans, they are all public record. There is nothing that's sort of hidden behind the scenes.

Buffering was a concern. Now, I guess I should probably for that have the main one up because the place

where we are required to buffer is -- there is a portion of the property -- this is one contiguous parcel right now, the whole thing, and there is a small parcel here (indicating) that the autos sit. The sales and service is

here. The rest of it is a large contiguous parcel.

Anyway, you wanted to know the breakdown of zoning. I do have that for you. The B-3 zone is approximately 21.5 acres, the R-2 is approximately 1.4 acres, and the AG portion is approximately 24.8 acres.

originally, the plan you saw originally for these two uses had the B-3 somewhere over in here (indicating), about right in here, and this was all zoned B-3 through here. What has happened since is about 16 acres of B-3 has been added heading this direction, and this (indicating) is your B-3 line running down to the -- it runs down through woods here, heads across, and then picks up the old zoning line that's offset a few hundred feet from Belair road.

So, what has happened in the comprehensive rezoning process that brought us to this point was that this additional about 16 acres was rezoned from a combination of R-2 and AG to B-3. The R-2 line used to head -- I believe

it came back and then headed in this (indicating) direction, partially R-2, and then AG was zoned B-3.

So, we went through that process in the comprehensive rezoning, and now we have started the process of putting something on paper to try to get everybody who wants to get in here on paper.

But as far as buffering goes, we're utilizing all of the B-3 property as much as we can. One thing to note from an environmental perspective is that we are -- we're not impacting the floodlines of your floodplains which comes up through here. That's this (indicating) line. There is a buffer called the Natural Resource District -- I'm sorry -- the non-tidal wetlands. The buffer's from the non-tidal wetlands from the stream, about 25 feet.

we are not going to require any State permits to impact either the buffer, the 25-foot State buffer, mandated or the wetlands themselves. So, we are not impacting any of that. So, the only place we are coming in to the Natural Resource District which is a 75-foot setback from the wetland or 50 foot from a floodplain -- there is a little bit of the stormwater facility that has already been

approved in what's called Natural Resource District.

You are allowed to put facilities in there because they are seen as a natural -- there is no paving. It is essentially a graded area. So, we are staying out of -- all the buffers that require a state permit to impact. So, we are very conscious of the environmental impact on this, and we are not doing anything to disturb that.

Back to the buffering, we are required to put a buffer -- this is the R-2 line that runs in this direction back up here. So, this portion is R-2. We are required to have a buffer through here (indicating), and this stormwater facility will act as a buffer between this use and the AG portion that's over here. There's an existing residence that is being rented at this location, the driveway which we will tie in and probably relocate at some point when this phasing happens. But that's going to remain at this point.

But we are going to provide all the mandatory buffers that are required on -- really on this (indicating) side of the property. There is nothing that is required along any of this (indicating) at this point. You have got a very large buffer down here with trees and environmental

constraints that head up in this direction through here.

Stormwater management, which we talked about briefly, was another concern. I think I have pretty much covered that. Originally, the discussion about management of the facility, the upland water quality that we will try to -- that we're going to be mandated to do in May, as of May; do some additional upland water quality areas to try to get water to infiltrate into the soil versus collecting and dumping it. It's better for the environment if you let as much percolate through as you can, the water table.

we have covered the types -- you all wanted some input on the -- Delta mentioned it at our meeting. I don't know how much was mentioned at the Greater Fallston Association meeting, but you all wanted some input into what -- who ends up here, what chain of grocery or that kind of thing. The developer is in discussions with a number of groups, a number of potential users of this, and he has indicated that he listened but he has obviously got to deal with -- work with whoever come to the table, but he is willing to listen to all of you and what you want there.

He doesn't want to go into the grocery store and have one of you guys and have you -- What are you doing? Why did you end up with this store? I wanted this store. That kind of thing.

So, he is very conscious. I am not going to say the name, but we all pretty much know what you all want from a grocery perspective. Only because we're still negotiating things and we don't know for sure who is going to end up there, so I don't want to -- it is a very prominent grocery chain that we are hoping to get in here, along with some very nice retail and other things.

The folks we are talking to now are really a core group of A-list folks that would do a nice job with the products and try to get this -- do a really Class A job.

with that, I can now accept any questions or comments. Yes, sir? Can you state your name?

MR. LEAR: My name is Brad Lear, and do you need anything else?

MR. CAPALONGO: No. Just your name is fine.

MR. LEAR: I am here by myself, but I also represent the Pleasant Hills Neighborhood Watch which is an

,

_

area that he and I were talking about a few minutes

ago that actually has a zip code in Kingsville, but we are

in Harford County, so we don't get a whole lot of mail.

My question is about the size of your impoundment ponds. Do you know the dimensions, the gallons, the depth, what have you?

MR. CAPALONGO: Well, the depth is typically six to eight feet deep.

MR. NOLAN: We don't know that yet. They are not looking at -- I'm Steve Nolan by the way. I'm with CNA.

MR. LEAR: Yeah, and I'm the guy that yelled at you at the last meeting. I didn't yell; I just talked a lot.

My concern is, I think it's 5.51 acres if I read your plat right about a covered area that is going to be impervious to water and, you know, we get a one-inch rainstorm about every 23 days in this area, and the area where my weather station is is no more than three-quarters of an air mile from there. That's an awful lot of water, and 5 acres is going to put a little less than 150,000 gallons from just a one-inch rain storm. So, my concern is, while you might be following somebody's rules, just 2006

we had 15 inches of rain in 72 hours. It wasn't
a hurricane; just an anomaly.
So, my concern is something he addressed, that we

So, my concern is something he addressed, that we don't want the water running in the cat run down there because you could blow out Belair Road in two places perhaps with erosion.

So that's my one concern is, you know, you got these impoundment ponds, but there is an awful lot of water that's going to come off of that concrete.

MR. NOLAN: I can give you some, I guess, theories of how stormwater is provided.

You know, you are talking about gallons as far as storage. We are talking about acre feet which is so many acres a foot tall.

MR. LEAR: Right.

MR. NOLAN: So, you know, gallons -- you think of this. We are thinking of an acre at a foot at a time. So, we are talking about the volumes of water that you are mentioning.

MR. LEAR: So that would be 12 times 6 million square inches.

MR. NOLAN: But we don't -- it's not one acre foot. It's so many that we need for each.

MR. LEAR: Right.

MR. NOLAN: Now, stormwater management -- it is not just the volume of water, but also the path that the water takes 'til it gets to the outfall or the storage facility that -- through that, it's not a linear relationship; but we calculate the storage required based on how much water is getting to it based on a 100-year storm which, I believe, is about 7 1/2 inches of water.

MR. LEAR: Okay. So we've already beat that by a factor of two, just three years ago.

MR. NOLAN: It's also depending on the timeframe. It's not 7 1/2 inches total. It is 7 1/2 inches, I think, an hour.

MR. LEAR: That's monsoon, but I see what you're saying. My only concern is, is it going to hold it?

MR. NOLAN: Well, we can go through a very strenuous exercise going through with the time of concentration of the water, of the rain event, the time of concentration of the flow, the direction that the water

flows, how it goes through the pipe system or over land.

We provide the storage in this (indicating) area.

Again, as Bob mentioned, it's a hundred-year management

which means that the area that -- you know, when water falls

onto the ground, it's eventually going to get to a stream.

MR. LEAR: Right.

MR. NOLAN: It gets to a stream or to its outfall a lot slower in woods area, and these regulations, we are looking at either -- the pre-development condition (inaudible) depending on the time that we are doing our study.

Our post-development rain event or flows cannot exceed a meadow in good condition or a woods in good condition. So we are going to take these large events, this hundred-year event -- we're going to manage it.

Eventually, the water is going to be released, but it is going to be released in the pre-development conditions so that looking at this as what it was a hundred years ago is our pre-development condition or thereabouts, what it was in 1982 when the stormwater management regulations were

1 enacted.

So I guess that's not quite a hundred years, but it is a little while ago. But our release rate of the water, of the stormwater, will be at those pre-development rates, and it's done through a series of calculations and a very extensive report that people in our office do. It's not my specialty, but I happen to know a little bit about it.

MR. LEAR: I'm not trying to be a small aleck.

How big are the ponds? That's all I want to no.

MR. NOLAN: The pond over here (indicating) --

MR. LEAR: It looks like about an acre and change.

MR. NOLAN: We have not designed them yet.

This pond has been designed for this development over here

(indicating).

MR. LEAR: Right.

MR. NOLAN: Because of what we are going to do, this is going to be additional drainage to it. So, we are proposing to extend this pond. We have not done the final calculations yet or the final design yet. We would be happy to show that to you when we finish it.

MR. LEAR: One other quick question. Years ago

this area did not have water and septic, water and sewer. I understand, if I did my homework right, it does now?

MR. NOLAN: This section within here (indicating) was in the water and sewer subdistrict. This section with the zoning line, the water and sewer subdistrict has not been extended to the zoning line yet; it's just a process that we're going through.

MR. LEAR: But it's going to happen?

MR. NOLAN: We hope it's going to happen obviously.

MR. LEAR: So do I.

MR. NOLAN: It would not be --

MR. LEAR: A number of years -- and some of the people at the other meeting heard me say this -- they wanted to put up a retirement community here with 500 and some odd units with a 3,000-gallon holding tank which would be full after breakfast.

MR. CAPALONGO: Our cisterns will be large than that. Our cisterns will probably be 10,000 gallons a piece.

MR. LEAR: Yeah, but that's going to be used for irrigation.

MR. CAPALONGO: I know but it will 1 2 capture --MR. LEAR: It will --3 It will be an overflow during a MR. CAPALONGO: heavy event, but it will hold a large amount of water. 5 The volume of water that our cistern MR. NOLAN: 6 will hold is not going to -- many places do that to help 7 with their stormwater management calculations. The volume 8 of water in a 100-year event is not going to be 9 affected by the cisterns. 10 MR. LEAR: Again, the cisterns won't be effective 11 in a one-inch rain because 10,000 gallons --12 It will fill up in a hurry. MR. NOLAN: 13 MR. CAPALONGO: I was just comparing it to 14 the 3,000 gallon thing you mentioned about the --15 MR. LEAR: I'm sorry? 16 I was comparing it to the 3,000 MR. CAPALONGO: 17 gallons you mentioned in your other "facility" that was 18 proposed. 19 MR. LEAR: That was their holding tank. 20 okay. Yes? MR. CAPALONGO: 21

MR. LEAR: Thank you.

MR. CAPALONGO: I'm sorry. Were you done?

MR. LEAR: That was my question, storm drains and then water and sewer.

MS. DALLAM: Early on --

MR. CAPALONGO: State your name for the --

MS. DALLAM: Oh, I'm sorry. Patti Dallam.

Early on when we talked to MDE with the developer, there was a lot of discussion about the cisterns and, at that point in time, there was talk of a large -- one large cistern to carry all of the roof and/or whatever rainwater.

I am under the impression now that there are going to be more than one. Is there going to be one for each building?

MR. CAPALONGO: That's the current thought, that we would have one for each use available. We are not going to get credit -- as Steve was saying, we are not getting credit toward our management because we are managing a hundred-year storm, they are not even -- the (inaudible) systems are managing a hundred-year storm.

BEL AIR REPORTING -

MS. DALLAM: When you say credit, what do you mean?

MR. CAPALONGO: We are not going to make our ponds smaller because we are holding back some of the water in a cistern. It's not going to let us go smaller.

MS. DALLAM: Well, it just makes sense that the cistern slows it down. The pond is going to be fine. Yeah.

MR. CAPALONGO: Yeah. We are talking about a series of cisterns at this point. We haven't nailed down exactly how that is going to work.

MR. NOLAN: The locations of them -- we don't know what user is going to go there. So, we don't know if roof leaders are going to come in and things of that nature.

MS. DALLAM: Is it just collected on the roof?

MR. NOLAN: Well, yes. Our intention is

essentially collect a roof leader because the roof water is
actually cleaner than the water running off.

MR. CAPALONGO: Whether it's above-ground cistern, you know, adjacent to the building which I've done before or below-ground cisterns, we haven't decided yet how it's all going to work out; but the intention, it looks like

right now, is multiple cisterns. 1 MS. DALLAM: Underground? 2 MR. CAPALONGO: Well, no. I'm going to promote the 3 above ground. 4 MS. DALLAM: What do they look like when they are 5 above ground? 6 MR. CAPALONGO: They can look very nice. 7 MS. DALLAM: What's very nice? 8 (Laughter.) 9 MR. CAPALONGO: They will look very nice. 10 discuss that. That's one thing we can discuss, but I expect 11 some to have stone, to have a stone facade around them. It 12 becomes part of the building feature, the curved corner. 13 There's a lot of ways to do it. There's a lot of ways to 14 integrate it and not have it be -- it's not going to look 15 like you have, you know, like a farm cistern that rusts out 16 in two weeks. That is not what it's going to look like. 17 MS. DALLAM: I'm going to hold you to that. 18 MR. CAPALONGO: Okay. Yes? 19 MR. ALDON: Jim Aldon is my name. I live in 20 Fallston. I have a couple of questions. 21

One is on the traffic light. Assuming your 1 traffic consultant goes to the State and the State agrees 2 that a light should go there, who pays for it? 3 The developer. MR. CAPLALONGO: 4 MR. ALDON: And the pharmacy you say is 5 the first thing that is going to get built as it looks right 6 7 now? The first thing that's going MR. CAPALONGO: No. 8 to be built is actually the McDonald's. They've got 9 permits. They are very close to starting the McDonald's. 10 MR. ALDON: What is the timeframe on that 11 12 approximately? MR. CAPALONGO: Approximately? They hope to 13 start in the spring. They would like to start in the 14 spring. That's when we're hoping to start. We are working 15 towards that now. 16 MR. ALDON: Okay. So, if the McDonald's opens 17 first, the only access will be on Belair Road? 18 MR. CAPALONGO: Correct. This (indicating) will be 19 built, this will be built, and the improvements associated 20

with it.

MR. ALDON: Will that have a left turn 1 from there to Route 1? 2 MR. CAPALONGO: Yes. There will be a left out and --(Multiple voices, unreportable.) 5 MR. CAPALONGO: This is being widened. 6 know if they finished it yet, but this is being --- we're 7 putting a double left in at the signal. Is that the turn 8 lane? It's a double left here. They proposed a double left in the improvements I'm showing here. I'm 10 showing the current improvements that are proposed. I 11 understand they are under construction. Right now, there is 12 a just a single left; is there not? 13 FEMALE SPECTATOR: Correct. 14 MR. CAPALONGO: They are putting a double left in 15 16 there. MALE SPECTATOR: Where are they going to take that 17 18 land from. I don't know the details of MR. CAPALONGO: 19 the plan as to which way it went, but they have got two -- a 20 double left, it looks like, a passer lane and then two 21

southbound lanes in this direction.

MR. LEAR: Excuse me. I don't think that was his question. I think he asked: Can you get a Mickey D's to go this way on your chart.

MR. NOLAN: Yes, you will be able to take a left.

I think Bob misspoke.

The McDonald's is intending when they come in -McDonald's is actually not part of our plan, but we are
showing it on this because it's part of the entire
site. So, it makes sense. But when McDonald's does come
in, there will be a right in, right out off of 152. It
was originally proposed and is presently approved as
a direct line coming up here (indicating) to a right in,
right out. That's not that far from this intersection.

We are trying to separate that right in, right out to be where it eventually will be with the full development of the site. However, if McDonald's goes in, there will be a right in, right out off of 152, whether it is temporary of sorts here, where it was originally approved or if it is here (indicating), we haven't gotten to that point yet.

But, if someone is going to McDonald's and

needs to go -- you know, continue on that route
to 95 down Mountain Road, there will be a way for them to
come back around, hit Mountain Road, and go south without
turning onto Belair Road.

MS. GARD: But it will be illegal to come out -
THE REPORTER: Excuse me, ma'am. Will you identify
yourself.

MS. GARD: My name is Sharon Gard.

THE REPORTER: Thank you.

MS. GARD: Will that be legal?

MR. CAPALONGO: Yes, it will be legal. Once again, this is approved as a separate set of drawings. The State's seen it. The County's seen it. They are proposing acceleration and deceleration stuff here (indicating). This has all been worked out with the State as far as this goes.

We are showing it for reference only at this point to show how this all would connect. But, initially, as Steve said, you come to the drive-through, you can circle back around and come out, right out here (indicating), and go in that direction or come out and head southbound

that way, however you want to do that. But you can get out here in that direction.

As you say, it is going to be a secondary in and out here (indicating), right in and right out only, not full access because there's a median, but it will be a secondary way to get out of here until such time as this is moved out of this position.

MR. ALDON: Jim Aldon again.

Second question. So, for your part of this development, none of the bank, nor the pharmacy, nor the food store -- okay -- are locked in. Right now, you could put anything in there that meets the zoning; is that correct or not?

MR. CAPALONGO: Technically, yeah, in a B-3 zone.

MR. NOLAN: Yes, and if anything substantially changes from what is proposed here, we would have another Community Input Meeting.

MR. FRANCZKOWSKI: Jim Franczkowski.

I was just wondering about the traffic. The State has final say on that? The developer just proposes, and the State has --

MR. CAPALONGO: Yes. He has to show on paper that the background traffic and proposed projected traffic from this use -- and, once again, they are all working off the same reference books -- that the traffic consultant that we have has basically come up with -- has stated to me that the traffic signal will be warranted, but the State has to approve the construction of it, even though he is paying for it -- he paid for it in the end. They have to approve it being here and all the details that go along with that, the left in, right outs, everything. The circulation that happens at that signal is also subject to being reviewed by the State.

MR. FRANCZKOWSKI: So the State has approved a left hand turn from that McDonald's?

MR. LEAR: Yes, they have.

MR. CAPALONGO: Here (indicating)? Yes, they have.

Under the previous site plan that went in --

MR. LEAR: And a left turn in.

MR. BUTLER: That's what you've got right now.

You have got approval of the State for a left out of
a McDonald's. Now you want to put a left out of a pharmacy,

this access is approved for these two uses.

The study that we have -- we didn't do, but the traffic consultant has done which he has completed it today, so it's not been submitted to the State yet; but that study is going to the State for their review and to the County for their review, that is going to show this entire site with this access. So this (indicating) access with the three -- the left turn, right turn and the entrance, it has been approved for these two uses. It has not been reviewed for the entire site. So, it is something that is being proposed, but it has not been approved at this point.

Dr. Ratych?

DR. RATYCH: Good evening. My name is Roman Ratych. I am with Greater Fallston Association. Just three points. First of all, I want to thank CNA Engineers and the developer for getting our community involved in this.

with regards to buffering -- and I am appreciative of the fact that this is a B-3 property next to residential -- our main concern of the Greater Fallston Association is to protect the residents and assure at least the minimal buffer requirements that are required by Planning and Zoning

a food store, out onto Route 1? You are still looking at utilizing a small access approval as now becoming a corridor for multiple exits out on Route 1. That's not a feasible exit for coming back out on Route 1.

Right now, you have got people who can't wait to go into the barely completed access in front of Dunkin Donuts where instead of going up beyond what had been the Denny's and exiting properly, these lazy drivers that you've got today can't find a shortcut short enough, and they are turning in there going north back up Belair Road out of that intersection and turning in coming northbound off Route 1 and going in there regardless to the posting of the little signs that say, you know, no left turn.

MR. FRANCZKOWSKI: On the double lines.

THE REPORTER: Can I get his name, please?

MR. BUTLER: I'm sorry. I'm Robert Butler. I just got too enthused about things.

THE REPORTER: Thank you.

MR. NOLAN: Thank you, Mr. Butler. Let me respond to that. The study that we have done, we have talked about this as being already approved, and you are correct in that

are met or exceeded so that the people in the R-2 don't have visibility to this commercial site at all. That would be a top priority in terms of buffering.

Secondly, stormwater management you addressed; but our main concern has to do with traffic along 152. We are not so much concerned about what happens along Route 1. I know that has been presented before in previous Community Input Meetings; but on the full-turn access, if you could just point out that, the full turn access here that is proposed, and, in all likelihood, as you said, a light required.

I think Mr. Butler pointed out that one of the inherent flaws of having these access turns near major intersections is that, if you look at the traffic analysis from last year, the 2008 traffic analysis, the queueing -- it is very minimal in the reports. They didn't really do an extensive queueing study. The queueing at 152 and Belair Road is 400-some feet.

If you look at the traffic that is heading northbound at evening times, that is really the only issue concerned. Morning, most people that are going to access

_

this will probably access the fast food chain.

People aren't going to go shopping at eight o'clock in the morning most likely.

So, what we're concerned about is the drive home. That traffic is backed up, as you know -- I mean, not backed up. There's congestion all the way over to I-95, with traffic signals down by Joppa Road, further on, Singer Road. The queueing is 400-some feet. I would imagine that, after leaving the intersection of Belair Road and 152 and proceeding north that a lot of people will be interested in stopping at a grocery store on the way home. The access to Acme is a right in, okay, but the left turn to get out of there is difficult unless you come back onto 152.

I think people would be more amenable and want to stop at a newer grocery store. So, they are going to pass the intersection 850 feet. It's less than a fifth of a mile away, literally a hop, skip and a jump to the next light.

Right now, if you look at the median strip there on the proposal -- and I know that left turn access can be greater than what's depicted there -- it holds about 11, 12 cars. If one additional car gets into that full turn

through lane, you got one lane proceeding north on 152. Now, you say that's probably -- it's probably likely as Mr. Butler pointed out in morning situation heading northbound and making the turn left into the shopping plaza where the Dunkin Donuts, car wash, all that facility is -- now, that's a double yellow lane, in which the people inside the double yellow line have full right of left hand turn, but people use it as the left hand turn lane which will be expanded.

But that same scenario is going to be transposed here now. So, what you're going to have is cars going into the left hand turn lane. You can only accommodate one left hand turn lane. The median strip doesn't hold two.

If you have more than 10, 12, 15 cars wanting to turn, you've obstructed the full lane northbound pursuit along that avenue at 850 feet away on a queue that is already 450 feet backup, you are going to see a major traffic congestion.

Now, the next light without a light, we'll have Harford Road. That's about a thousand -- we measured it. I have the measurement here somewhere; 2,500 feet. So, that

is a half mile. So that's okay, but 850 feet is going to obstruct the traffic. There isn't any question about it.

So, our major concern is -- I mean, obviously, we like the development. We want it there. We want it buffered. It's a good idea.

The other thing is, we don't want access -- and I think there are preventative easements that present entrances off of Harmony Terrace. I know the developer has a right-of-way there. That would just be taking traffic right through a residential development into the complex. That's difficult to comprehend, and we certainly -- I think there are easements preventing any access off of Harford Road for fear that people are going to use it as a throughway.

So, however you come up with a solution to this, I just don't know how it's going to be achieved, but that is the major concern that we have; that left turn access and the obstruction of traffic northbound in the evening time.

MR. CAPALONGO: There's two points I want to make to that. One of them was given to us by the traffic

consultant, that one of the ways that, knowing the concern you all have with this stacking distance, is that these lights can be queued such that this left turn lane is queued up with this signal so that, as you are coming through in the rush hour traffic, you are getting the left turn lane extended for that period of time. In other words, they can work that out so you got the left -- you are coming in the lane, but you can make your left. In other words, you are not sitting, and traffic can continuously flow. By timing of the signals both here (indicating) and here is one way to alleviate that issue.

If the State comes back and says, based on what we have determined, your stacking is going to be here, then they would either need to say: No, you can't have access here, you know, they could restrict access here, or they could tell you you need to widen, do some widening here to accommodate a longer lane or something.

There are some things that they can force the developer to do. They are not going to carte blanche say:
Okay. Ten sounds good. We are going to go with that. If they determine based on, once again, the information that

the book -- the code they are both working off of, they determine that they can't make it work, the queueing issue can help. Both being green, you have the left turn signal in is one big way to do that; but, also, even with that, they are going to look at the queueing and, if it's a problem, then they will have to address it in some way or they will restrict the access at that point.

Yes, sir?

MR. HERRON: My name is Boyd Herron. I live right there, right across the road from there and, believe me, in the rush hour, people are racing from one red light to the next red light. They leave that light at Belair Road, and they try to make the Harford Road before it changes, and it's all night long as the traffic starts. Okay.

If you break it right there, you are going to have more problems than you can deal with. It's not going to work. It's not going to work.

And then Belair Road is the same thing. There's access there in the summertime, people coming over that hill going south or east, whatever; when they come over that hill, they have people trying to turn left into the gas

-

-

station, into the restaurant there; and there's accidents at least once a week. I don't know how you're going to work that out without having problems.

Then, the water deal you have; there's a runoff underneath 152 from my house that runs down. I was wondering how you are going to keep that flowing. I mean, how is that going to run -- it runs on my side of the road and then it runs down underneath 152. How is that going to work, where it's not going to hold it back, where it's going to back up to my drain.

MR. NOLAN: The natural drainage course which runs along here (indicating), your drainage gets to that?

MR. HERRON: Well, I'm right across from the rental property, where that access is, more or less to the right of that. I'm at 203, and what happens is the drainage comes down and, right before it gets to Belair Road about maybe -- I don't know -- about 200 yards and then it goes underneath 152 and runs down, right where the entrance would be --

MR. CAPALONGO: Uh-huh. There's a pipe --

No, not that entrance. MR. HERRON: 1 MR. CAPALONGO: On your side, is there a head wall 2 3 It goes underneath the road, yes. MR. HERRON: It continues under 152 and it MR. CAPALONGO: 5 closes --Right. Farther off to the right. MR. HERRON: 7 MR. CAPALONGO: Okay. Well, it's likely that 8 that's going into a closed system that is in the State 9 roads. You are right about south of it. You are right 10 across from this (indicating) inlet. When you go across 11 your street, do you see that inlet? Do you know where the 12 guardrail was originally constructed? 13 MR. HERRON: Yes. 14 MR. CAPALONGO: The guardrail ends at this inlet. 15 Is that approximately where you are coming --16 MR. HARRIS: That's where my house is, but the pipe 17 is further down. It's between two -- that one empty lot 18 right there, it's right in the middle of that empty lot. 19 MR. CAPALONGO: Well, one of two things. If it is 20 coming across and it's being intercepted in the State 21

system, then we are not going to modify that. The
only thing we're going to do is there will be a -- we may
have to modify this inlet location here for the proposed
access. When we move the right in, right out, we'll have to
put a guardrail opening here and move this inlet likely over
a little bit; but it's not going to affect -- if you have
drainage passing through this way now, it is going to
continue to do that.

If it is coming across the street -- and we haven't surveyed this yet in the level of detail but, once we get to a detailed design, we'll look at this and, if there's a pipe outfall on this property, if you are draining across here (indicating) and it stops, which is doubtful -- if it did, by chance, we would intercept that drainage.

MR. HERRON: It's farther over.

MR. CAPALONGO: Judging from what the -- the closed system from the State system, if it gets into that system, we are not looking to change that at all.

MR. HERRON: So it won't have no problem with the things backing up. I mean, we have had some torrential rains this year and, I mean, it's heavy.

MR. NOLAN: We are not proposing to modify any of the drains along there.

MR. HERRON: So that won't affect the runoff that I have now. What you are doing there.

MR. NOLAN: Corrrect.

MR. HARRIS: Also, I was going to ask. As far as the buffer, that median, is there way you can do — if you are going to propose to do something like that, maybe do some brush or trees in the median to try to block some of that with the traffic coming out and also the traffic being held up at the red light. I mean, they back all the way up — I don't know — halfway, and when the traffic is heavy going south, it can back all the way up and some of the trucks with the brakes in the morning, it's unreal.

MR. NOLAN: That's a State highway. Actually, the State owns that.

MR. HERRON: The median?

MR. NOLAN: Yes. They own the entire right-of-way, and we haven't approached them on this project; but in projects in the past when we had developers who wanted to

plant in the median, the State actually didn't
want it because, once they are done, it becomes the State's
and, if it gets wiped out from a car hitting it or a truck
hitting it, they are obligated to replace it. So, that
is what I have seen in previous projects.

The State normally doesn't want those types of plantings in their median because they don't want to have replace it when it gets destroyed.

Yes?

MS. HERRON: I'm Anita Herron, and I live at 203 Mountain Road.

A couple of years ago a truck had come across the median and hit our tree on the side of our house and, if he didn't hit the tree, he would have came through our home.

So, if you're proposing all this and we are going to get more traffic and they're already having a speeding issue, what keeps something coming through my home?

I don't think that far off the street. I don't live 350 feet back off of Mountain Road, you know. You know, I don't want to see a guardrail in front of my house; but if you put a lane of Cypress trees, if a car hits a

1.2

•

tree, I'd rather it hit the tree than come through my bay window. It saves me.

MR. CAPALONGO: Uh-huh.

MS. HERRON: But it is not like the State Police

-- they have put radar up there constantly, but it doesn't

matter. They still speed between Belair Road and Harford

Road. The traffic does back up almost every evening all the

way up to Harford Road.

We have even had schoolbus accidents in front of our home because no one wanted to stop for the schoolbus, and it's gone over the curb and down into the ditch into the properties.

So, you know, when you are developing these plans, please keep in mind that there are residents right there on the road on 152 right across from it. I don't want to see all the lights. I don't want to hear all the garbage and the fights in the parking lot and people coming out and peeing at night in there.

I mean, really, this happens. The garbage that I pick up out of my front yard from people just throwing it.

Now, here we have a nice situation here and where CVS

-

Pharmacy is and all that that is all rundown. It looks horrible. Why can't you take these plans and rejuvenate that area right there?

I don't understand why we want to constantly tear down trees and keep building when we can't keep merchants in what we already have. I don't understand that.

MR. CAPALONGO: The only trees we are tearing down is a little bit of trees that are in this (indicating) -- they are already approved by --

MR. HERRON: You already took all them out at the corner. You took all that out. I was there.

(Multiple voices, unreportable.)

MS. HERRON: What I'm saying is you are proposing a bank. Madison just went out of business. The bank before them went out of business.

MR. HERRON: Bank of America.

MS. HERRON: Yeah. I mean, it is a perfect -there's a perfect spot right there, but the reason why
nobody wants to stay there is it looks awful. It's
terrible, and that's what needs to be redone. We don't
need more buildings. We need people to clean up what they

already have.

I mean, it has gotten so Maryland is like, don't keep anything old. Let's just tear down and rebuild. Take care of what we have. We don't need any more buildings, any more pharmacies. You know, we moved to Fallston because we like to get into our cars and drive ten miles to the grocery store. You know, we don't want it right there.

You know, I don't want to put my house up for sale and move to Cecil County, but it's getting to that point. You know, I have a Kindercare in back of me now. The little ones, I don't bother with. It don't bother me, but this across the street with guardrails and lights and traffic, and then I have to have a U driveway because I can't back out on 152. For one thing, it's against the law, and you got to take that into consideration for my neighbor that's like 80 years old. Now she didn't have a u-turn. She is backing out on 152.

You know, it's lives. Just like this left turn; you got it approved. That's great, but who's going to be accountable for the young girl or young boy coming out 16 years old, young driver, or an elderly driver out of

McDonald's that gets hit broadside and gets killed, and then these guys come to the scene. Who is accountable? Are you going to think about it because you passed the law?

No. It's growth. It's progress.

I think about it when the fire engines are coming down. You got to think of what you are doing.

MR. NOLAN: Thank you.

Yes, sir?

MR. WARD: My name is Jeff Ward, and I wanted to also support what was just said.

I think, just for the record, the developer is local. Taking a left hand turn from that site onto Route 1 northbound is a suicide manuever; and if you can't find a tenant, you might want to put a Patient First facility there because it's going to be a lot of business.

about. There is no visibility coming south on Route 1, and there will be plenty of accidents. If anything, it should be a right in, right out only which is going to create other traffic problems, but that is a very hazardous area, and it should never be considered, even if it looks good on paper.

MR. CAPALONGO: Yes, sir?

MR. LEAR: Something I think I understand, and I hope everybody in the room does. I'm Brad Lear again. This isn't their development. You guys aren't making this. You were hired to design this.

MR. NOLAN: Yes.

MR. LEAR: It's somebody who's not here that should be getting yelled at. Do I have that right?

MR, CAPALONGO: Yes.

MS. GARD: Again, my name is Sharon Gard, and we are frustrated about the traffic; and what I am understanding is that this has already been okayed by the State, and I don't know where I was. Maybe I was fighting to keep this library open at the time that those notices went up; and one thing is, when your notice goes up, it is too dangerous to pull over and read that little print on 152 or 1. I was afraid to pull over because somebody was going to nail me in the back end.

So, we can't even read when to come to these meetings because that corner already is too bad to do that kind of thing. So, I don't know when these notices went up,

but that left turn is suicide.

You actually -- and I believe you guys are right across the street. Is that not part of your building, the CNA, right there next to the Burger King?

MR. CAPALONGO: No, no, no.

MS. GARD: Okay. If you know, if you sat there and took those traffic lights -- that left coming northbound 1 everyday as I do, I'm petrified that someone is going to come over, try to go into the restaurant, somebody's going to nail me in the back and smash me.

Now, you say that we're going to make two lanes. It doesn't matter. The long turn lane, you can't even get to because the traffic is all the way backed up to Log Cabin. You can't get into the left turn lane to make a left. So putting two there isn't going to help that unless you extend the lane to get in, you know, the left lane.

So, who do we call because the State obviously said it's okay. I don't know when they were there measuring traffic or seeing how difficult that already is. Where was that meeting, and who do we call? I know it's not you, but can you tell us? Who would we talk to in the State? Are

they here? Are the traffic -- because when we talked about Fallston putting in a the rec center, the State 2 and the traffic people were at those meetings. MR. NOLAN: First, let me back up a little bit. This entrance has been approved for these two uses. 5 It should be approved for no uses. MS. GARD: 6 understand. It's not yours, but still it has been approved, 7 and it went in first; correct? 8 MR. NOLAN: But in order for this entire 9 development to be done, this entrance is going to be looked 10 at again. 11 It needs to be looked at now. MS. GARD: 12 That's what the traffic consultant and MR. NOLAN: 13 the State Highway Administration and the County's 14 Traffic Department are looking at. 15 MS. GARD: And are they going to hold 16 community meetings for that, or did they already do it 17 and we didn't notice or couldn't read it or --18 MR. NOLAN: There was a Community Input Meeting 19 for this. We were not the engineers for these fist two 20 I don't recall when it was, but it was actually here 21 uses.

which is why -- one of the reasons we had this 1 meeting here. 2 MR. FRANCZKOWSKI: But the State doesn't have 3 input meetings for citizens. MR. NOLAN: Well, the minutes from this meeting, 5 which we have a stenographer here taking minutes, they 6 are going to be given to the County with our -- as part of 7 the Community Input Meeting process. So your comments, 8 everything that you're saying now, is going to be going to the County. They read the minutes. It's done as 10 part of the development approval process. 11 So you have a voice. It is going to get to 12 the County and to the State reviewers. 13 MR. LEAR: If that is true, is there anybody in 14 here who thinks it's a good idea to be able to make a left 15 turn out of that entrance and exit? Would you please note 16 that nobody raised their hand, ma'am. 17 MR. CAPALONGO: A left turn into here (indicating)? 18 (Multiple voices, unreportable.) 19 THE REPORTER: Excuse me. One at a time. One at a 20 time, please. 21

MR. LEAR: I yield.

2

MR. CAPALONGO: Yes?

3

mile per hours at 50 mile per hour on Belair Road. You

5

cross the light; it goes to 45. Right there, where you are

MS. HERRON: I also want to restate that these are

6

talking about making that left hand turn, the speed limit is

7

50. I travel it everyday. The speed limit is 50. It

8

doesn't turn to 45 until you make the left-hand turn on the

9

152, until you cross that light; it's 45.

10

MR. FRANCZKOWSKI: What? Belair Road or --

11

MS. HERRON: Belair Road. Coming up Belair Road,

12

it is 50 mile an hour. At that light, intersection, it

13

changes to 45 after you cross 152 to go north. If you go

14

left on 152, it is 45; but I can guarantee you they do

15

50 plus. And making a left turn out of there -- okay.

16

I know you guys aren't the ones, but you need to carry this

17

information forward. Okay? If they are not going to be

18

here, then you have to be our voice. They have to understand there is no -- I have seen the accidents.

19

live right there at that corner. Okay. I am telling you.

20

21

It's going to be awful. You are going to be carrying people

to the morgue coming out of that, and you are going to have people -- if this whole development goes through, you are going to have people that will not wait for that light, that's going to cut through that parking lot and try to beat the traffic coming south on Belair Road.

I guarantee it; you will have somebody that is going to make a right hand turn there, go through all that parking lot, and come out.

MR. CAPALONGO: Yes, Gene?

DR. RATYCH: I just want to make a comment about the process because everytime I go to these meetings -- and I know a lot of citizens get very, very upset about what happened and who is responsible and the next phase; and let me actually go a little bit into the future to address this.

There is a Development Advisory Committee, which will be the next major meeting. That is scheduled for January 20 --

MR. NOLAN: We don't know when it is because we are not going to submit this tomorrow for that meeting. We don't know exactly when it's going to be.

MR. RATYCH: The next meeting that's going to be

Committee meeting. At that meeting, you will have Planning and Zoning, State Highway Administrators, Water and Sewer, Public Works. Everyone is there. That is the time, and you should have your ears posted and check the Planning and Zoning website, or the County Council website for the date of the D.A.C. meeting. I don't know if it was scheduled or not already. That's a very important meeting to attend because you can then voice your concerns about these issues to the State. They will hear you there, in addition to the notes that will be submitted from this to Planning and Zoning.

But the other thing I want to bring in defense of the people that are here -- and I hope everybody understands that -- the Comprehensive Rezoning just took place. We have been working as the GFA for months on that. We've had notices in the paper, articles, letters to the Editor, trying to get people involved because, at one time, they didn't have B-3 zoning. It was R-2.

It is very important to keep your ears turned to rezoning. That's when the critical issue occurs. As I

mentioned at our annual meeting at the Greater

Fallston Association, the group up in Forest Hill had an issue with Kohl's, and even the President of the United States or the Supreme Court couldn't reverse that decision.

so, where you really need to pay focus and attention is when you hear the words "zoning change."

That's when you get involved because the minute there is a zoning change to B-3, if you own the land, you're going to develop it. So, I think what we can do, to the best thing to the advantage of these individuals here tonight -- and I think we are expressing it -- if, in fact, it is going to be developed -- there's nothing we're going to do about it; they are going to develop it. They are here to make it the best possible situation for the community in terms of like -- you're expressing interest, and they hear all that and they will bring that back.

But I just wanted to remind you about the D.A.C. meeting coming up. That is another opportunity, and I think that's where when you say, why aren't they here, they will be there at that meeting.

MR. FRANCZKOWSKI: Could I add something

to what Gene said? I don't believe they have the sewer -- water and sewer except for that B-3 along Belair Road.

MR. NOLAN: That's correct.

MR. FRANCZKOWSKI: So Harford County is going to have to make a law to change that sewer, and you also have opportunity there. If you stop the water and sewer from there, there won't be any -- if they are going to put septic in, who is going to move in?

MR. LEAR: That's what killed that retirement community.

MR. FRANZKOWKSI: So, I mean there will be an opportunity -- the Harford County Council will have to approve, you know, legislation for changes to the sewer. So so you will have an opportunity there.

MS. SEWELL: Delta Sewell.

This would be a time also to start attending your Council meetings and get to know all of the Council people because the water and sewage bill will go before the Council for approval and, if this would be in it and it was not -- that portion was not approved, okay. But you need to get

involved and you need to get your -- I mean, you need to go to your D.A.C. meetings. You need to go to your Council meetings and all of the meetings like this. So, it takes time, but we need to use the time now to protect our community.

I used to be quite active, and I got tired of going when nobody else shows up. Even -- I walked my area in weather like we're having right now and, when it comes time to vote, I walked the area and I have said: This person has passed this, this and this that is detrimental to our community, and they are re-elected.

You got to pay attention. This is your community.

You can't fight it -- right now, what we need to do is

decide what is best for this because it is already been

rezoned. At the time of Comprehensive Rezoning was the time

to stop it. We can't stop it now. At this point, all we

can do is try to find something that we can all live with.

But you got to be involved all the time.

MR. REYNOLDS: My name is Brian Reynolds. I live in Fallston. Since you invited us here, I want to get my two cents in.

MR. CAPALONGO: Sure. MR. REYNOLDS: I could be redundant and go over the 2 traffic safety issues which I think is paramount here, but I 3 am curious to know; what is the actual distance on Route 1 from the traffic light south to that exit. 5 MR. NOLAN: McDonald's exit? 6 MR. REYNOLDS: What is the distance form the light 7 to that exit? 8 MR. CAPALONGO: I don't know exactly. 9 MR. REYNOLDS: You don't know? 10 MR. CAPALONGO: I don't know off the top of my 11 12 head, no. DR. RATYCH: Four hundred feet. 13 MR. LEAR: It looks like it is less than 500 14 according to this. 15 MR. NOLAN: Adding up a couple of numbers on here, 16 it looks like it is about 400 feet. 17 MR. CAPALONGO: Maybe a little more than that. 18 MR. REYNOLDS: On 152, what is the distance from 19 the traffic light to the proposed right in, right out? 20 MR. CAPALONGO: From here (indicating) to the 21

proposed --MR. REYNOLDS: From the light to the proposed right 2 in, right out: MR. CAPALONGO: This might be less --Is that how we are measuring it? MR. REYNOLDS: 5 By fingers? I mean, no offense. You guys --6 (Laughter.) 7 MR. CAPALONGO: I have a scale, but I am not 8 going to spend three hours scaling everything. Right. MR. REYNOLDS: 10 MR. CAPALONGO: It's about 300 feet. It's about 11 850 to here and 300 to there. If you look at it in 12 proportion, it is about 300 feet. 13 MR. REYNOLDS: I also want to go on record as 14 saying it is going to be a major, major pain in the butt 15 in the least, and it could be a recipe for disaster in the 16 worst given the serious traffic flow that we are all 17 familiar with and accustomed to seven days out of the week. 18 MR. CAPALONGO: You will (inaudible) State Highway 19 will get -- they will make us evaluate to the enth degree to 20 make sure it's -- I know you may not think it is safe, but 21

their level of study -- one thing you cannot regulate is stupidity. You can put in a right in, right out, and some people will try to make a -- you put a median there and some people will jump over the median. You can't regulate a certain amount of --

MR. REYNOLDS: Since we are discussing it here; I don't even think it is an issue of stupidity. I think it's an issue of the normal flow of traffic everyday. How busy will it be? How busy is it, and how it will be impacted by these ins and outs, and I say it is going to be a real serious detrimental impact, and usually experts that say otherwise in my experience are people from out of town.

MR. CAPALONGO: Yes, sir?

MR. KIPER: My name Darryl Kiper (phonetic).

I notice we have been talking a lot about traffic issues and I notice all three corners all have those issues. Has anybody looked at those issues in the dynamics of the numbers of crashes and the flow in and out of those other properties, because those were all created a long time ago, prior. You know, they're basically grandfathered in at this point and, you know, we keep talking about these entrances

1 mimmicking or mirroring those type of entrances and whether -- looking at that statistically to see whether 2 or not we are going to end up with the same issues, with 3 another traffic light there at McDonald's because it's probably going to be warranted if we allow a left in and out 6 -- or a left out northbound on Route 1. 7 Has anybody looked at that? 8 MR. CAPALONGO: They typically don't require signals based on a perceived safety issue in my experience. 10 They go by numbers. Just my experience. 11 MR. KIPER: I understand. Okay. And it's 12 mandatory by state law. 13 MR. CAPALONGO: I don't know if that's -- I know they monitor that, safety issues, but I don't know what the 14 15 thresholds are. 16 MR. KIPER: Now, this is an 11-acre site, 17 is that correct, or is it larger? 18 MR. CAPALONGO: No, it's much larger. The site, 19 the entire tract, is about 48 acres, of which about 21, 20 I think --21 MR. NOLAN: That comes over to here (indicating).

1 MR. CAPALONGO: The B-3 portion is about 2 21 acres. MR. KIPER: Of the land use that we are looking at, is it feasible that there is more lot available to develop 5 another building on the zoned area that you have right here. Can it ever have another piece of building put on it, being 6 that the parking lot is so large? 7 8 Many times I have seen developments other ways. When you see there, this is what you are proposing and then, all the sudden, we've got a Burger King on that open lot 10 11 later, you know, a couple of years later. 12 MR. CAPALONGO: This (indicating) cannot be 13 developed --14 No, no. I'm not talking about -- I MR. KIPER: 15 understand. 16 (Multiple voices, unreportable.) 17 MR. KIPER: Is it feasible that there's a land --18 that there's space to do that? 19 MR. CAPALONGO: You have to park it. You have to make it, you know -- you'd have to go back before the County 20 21 and the State to have the traffic study revised for that use

^

to be put in here. You'd have to meet the setback requirements, parking requirements, et cetera.

You know, we are meeting the minimums right now. This is not a -- in other words, we are not providing 600 more than is necessary. We're only providing the minimum that's required for the uses. So, it's not a -- in other words, you wouldn't be able to plop a Burger King in there without impacting the developability of the rest of the site.

In other words, it's the minimums for these uses.

So, if you plop something else in there, you would have an issue with -- you know, you'd lose the bank. You'd have to lose retail. You have to do something.

MR. KIPER: Basically, there isn't space at this poiont, as far as you know, to put another building up with the current diagrams.

MR. NOLAN: Correct. If something comes in that's smaller than this, there could possibly be some other -- I guess another use or something of that nature. If that happens, we would have another Community Input Meeting, other -- basically, there would be other

1 advertisements. There would be -- whatever changes that would happen to this plan would be advertised 2 3 again. You know --MR. CAPALONGO: (Inaudible). MR. NOLAN: -- (continuing) we anticipate it to be exactly as shown with minor -- I mean, slide over this way, 7 things of that nature and, you know, we don't like drawing things over and over again. So --MR. KIPER: I appreciate that. 10 One other further question. You said there is 48 11 acres and we are looking at approximately 21 acres; is that 12 correct? 13 MR. NOLAN: I'm sorry to interrupt. 14 The 21 acres actually extends off this sheet. 15 am not sure how far. So you might not be off with about 16 11 acres as being from basically here (indicating) to here. 17 MR. KIPER: I am just thinking 800 times 600 18 is approximately 11 acres, you know. It's 43,000 -- you 19 know the numbers. 20 where are the other acres that would be involved, 21 the 48 acres of this property, that are RR right now? Left?

1	MR. NOLAN: It is AG.
2	MR. KIPER: It's actually AG?
3	MR. NOLAN: There's some R-2 which this
4	parcel
5	MR. KIPER: That parcel there. Okay.
6	MR. CAPALONGO: That is R-2.
7	MR. NOLAN: There's this tiny sliver right back
8	in here up against the the residential, but the rest of
9	it is farm, agricultural.
10	MR. KIPER: But it is not wetlands; is that
11	correct?
12	MR. CAPALONGO: There are some that snake
13	through the back. There is some that kind of snake
14	up through this is a large scale. There's some that
15	snake up through here, but the majority
16	MR. NOLAN: There's a springhouse there. The
17	headwaters are at that springhouse.
18	MR. KIPER: Thank you.
19	MR. CAPALONGO: Yes?
20	MR. LEAR: Brad Lear again.
21	You mentioned earlier that the Mickey D's it's a

1 separate organization putting this in, and they 2 have got it so to their approval. What about that behind 3 this (indicating) way, this sit-down restaurant. the same development, or is that part of your guys? MR. NOLAN: Right now, actually this was approved 6 as a pharmacy. When it got -- when it was rezoned, the 7 pharmacy wanted to go here (indicating). MR. LEAR: Gotcha. MR. NOLAN: So, as we said, when something changes, 10 we will have another Community Input Meeting or whatnot. 11 When this comes in with a different use, we would come in 12 and do another one. 13 MR. LEAR: But that's got nothing to do with the 14 people who are developing the McDonald's property. 15 MR. NOLAN: Developing versus building are two 16 different things. The person developing the site 17 is developing the whole site. 18 MR. LEAR: Right. 19 MR. NOLAN: The people --20 MR. LEAR: Right now, the only approval is 21 where your hand is right now, the McDonald's.

1 MR. NOLAN: The only approval that's --2 this is approved as a CVS, or as a pharmacy. 3 MR. LEAR: Gotcha. MR. NOLAN: The pharmacy doesn't -- it is approved 5 for that. It could -- you know, if everything went went away, a pharmacy could go here. 7 MR. LEAR: Uh-huh, but right now, if I heard you correctly, what could start in the spring would be the McDonald's. 10 MR. NOLAN: Correct. 11 MR. LEAR: But no plan is being made for that 12 lower property at this point. 13 MR. NOLAN: No building or anything of that nature 14 -- the grading has already naturally started. The earthwork 15 and building the stormwater facility will all be done at the same time. You kind of have to do that -- you cut both from 16 17 here to put over here (indicating). 18 MR. LEAR: Thank you. 19 MR. CAPALONGO: It will be a pad initially. Then, 20 once we have an end user, we will is have to come back. 21 MR. LEAR: Did you design this, or did the

```
group that did the Mickey D's design it? Do you
 1
 2
     understand my question?
 3
              MR. NOLAN: Yes. The group that designed the
 4
     Mickey D's designed the original one. The next use will be
     us.
 6
              MR. LEAR: You are going to take it when it
 7
     becomes a sit-down restaurant.
              MR. CAPALONGO.
                             Correct.
 9
              MR. LEAR: Thank you.
10
              MR. CAPALONGO: Yes, sir?
11
              MR. SAPIENZA: Hi. My name is Sal Sapienza.
12
              What's the possibility of lowering the speed
13
     limit in the area there and putting a utility road through
14
     the property itself?
15
              MR. CAPALONGO: It's possible.
16
              MR. NOLAN: As far as lowering the speed
     limit, that's beyond our scope.
17
18
              MR. SAPIENZA: The State would take care of that?
19
              MR. NOLAN: Yes. That's State Highway roads --
20
              MR. SAPIENZA: My main concern is, you know, if
     it's difficult to get in and out of, eventually that will
21
```

```
turn into a dump like the Fallston Mall which is
 1
 2
     an embarrassment to the community. I think that the
 3
     community does need some services, goods and services.
     But how hard is it to lower the speed limit?
 5
              MR. CAPALONGO: I have had -- I have not been
     involved in the details of getting that done, but I know it
 6
 7
     has been done.
 8
              MR. SAPIENZA: who do you talk to?
 9
              MR. CAPALONGO: You typically -- well, State
     Highway Administration would be the --
10
11
              MR. NOLAN: Quite honestly, I think the best way to
     go about that would be politically, dealing with Mr. Woods
12
     or someone with the State delegation.
13
              MR. SAPIENZA: You got a name?
15
              MR. NOLAN: Who is the delegate here?
16
              UNIDENTIFIED SPECTATOR: J. B. Jennings.
17
     Impallaria.
                  Pat McDonough.
18
              MR. SAPIENZA: Has the utility road idea been
19
     studied?
20
              MR. CAPALONGO: I'm not sure I understand what you
21
     mean by having a utility road.
```

MR. SAPIENZA: Well, instead of having all these entrances, like people trying to come in through Mountain Road to beat the light and go racing through the parking lot, if there was like a utility road going along Route 1.

MALE SPECTATOR: You mean out by Harford Road?

MR. SAPIENZA: No. Is that Harford Road there
to the left, or is it not showing it?

MR. CAPALONG: No, Harford Road is --

MR. SAPIENZA: There's more land there on the left.

MR. CAPALONGO: Yeah. Our site -- if you look at this larger map, our site is pretty much developing this (indicating), and Harford Road is down -- the signal would be proposed right about here (indicating) and this is about 2,500 feet, I think it was, that Gene mentioned. About 2,500 feet from here (indicating) to Harford Road, and about 850 feet to the intersection with Belair.

MR. SAPIENZA: What about the chances if you were to put in a red light there with the McDonald's, which is kind of close to the other light -- how much more property do you guys got south where you could actually put another

1 light in there? 2 MR. CAPALONGO: Well, we are really not at liberty to discuss this as far as how -- what can be done with that. 3 I don't know, you know --5 MR. SAPIENZA: If you had more property there, the 6 utility road could go south and another light could be 7 spaced in from the Mountain Road light. 8 MR. KIPER: He is saying actually go farther south, much further south. Your property -- you having access to 10 this here --11 MR. CAPALONGO: This is all covered with the 12 wetlands. 13 MR. KIPER: Exactly. I was about to mention that, 14 the wetlands issue. 15 MR. CAPALONGO: Okay. Yeah. So, we really can't -- you know, you got this (indicating) existing use. This 16 doesn't work from a vertical -- this doesn't do you any good 17 vertically either. This is way -- well below. It doesn't 18 work circulation-wise. I mean, that is something that was 19 20 -- they went to their regular -- they have accel and decel that goes over here, an acceleration/deceleration lane 21

devoted to this in and out. Like I said, double left, double left. This goes up a hill now. This (indicating) has all been pulled back. This has all been cut back.

The visibility. They had approved -- they had a certain amount of sight distance. I know from from seeing the drawings. They had a certain amount of sight distance to this intersection based on the design speed coming through here.

So, I can tell you that this is -- there is some thought into this. It is not cutting this thing through a hill and you are going to have a huge hill alongside and you aren't going to see up the street. I mean, they are very conscious of coming over the top of this hill just like you have on the dip coming up to -- where Graystone Lodge is going to go.

I mean, that's a similar situation with cars going across there, where Joppa -- Old Joppa, the flashing light at Old Joppa and Belair, Business Route 1. That was a function of people just zooming around there at break-neck speed during rush hour. If you're on Old Joppa during rush hour,

you can't make a left. Forget it. So, they had to do something with that. That will likely become a permanent light down the road but, for now, it is a flashing signal.

MR. SAPIENZA: So, the drawing that you are presenting --

MR. CAPALONGO: The drawing we are presenting today

-- we are showing this for reference only. This has been
approved. It's come through the Community Input process.

The County has seen it. The State has seen it. They have
done road crew drawings that are in the process of being
reviewed, being approved. They have had to go through the
ringer to get access here.

As Steve said, now that we're -- that was based on this cutting off. Now that we are connecting to additional development, they are going to relook at this intersection -- relook at that, and they may have some additional improvements they want there.

MS. SAPIENZA: I mean, is this to show us what you're going to do or for us to give you an input on what we want?

1 MR. CAPALONGO: Well, it's for you to give 2 It is being documented on video and it's being 3 documented -- it's going to be public record. When we go to Development Advisory Committee, which 5 is the next step and have all the agencies, including the State Highway Administration, at that point they will have 6 seen the traffic study for the Aumar development, and the 7 8 State will make their comments related to that. MR. SAPIENZA: And has a date been set? 10 MR. CAPALONGO: There is no date set yet. It is a going to be a month or two before we have it. It will be 11 12 advertised on line, and on the Harford County website they 13 advertise them. 14 MR. SAPIENZA: Will you have another sign out there, the little white signs? 15 16 MR. CAPALONGO: Yes. Yes. 17 MR. SAPIENZA: Another one will put up? 18 MR. CAPALONGO: Yes. There will be another one put 19 It will be advertised again. All the adjacent letters it. 20 21 MR. NOLAN: It will be in the Aegis.

1 D.A.C. hearings happen the first and third Wednesdays of every month. Our meeting will be basically 2 four weeks after we submit the plan. We don't know when we 3 are going to submit the plan. It is not ready yet. 5 MR. CAPALONGO: Once again, just to reiterate, 6 this (indicating) at this point is off the table for now. 7 We are showing it to you for reference. It is not being developed in the near term. This (indicating) is what we 8 wanted to get in here in the near term. 10 MR. SAPIENZA: What is that big building --11 (Multiple voices, unreportable.) 12 It's a proposed grocery. MR. NOLAN: 13 MR. SAPIENZA: Oh, that's the grocery store. 14 MR. NOLAN: Yeah. 15 MR. SAPIENZA: How many square feet is that? 16 MR. LEAR: 62,239. 17 MR. CAPALONGO: 61,939. 18 MR. SAPIENZA: And there's no tenant for that yet. 19 MR. CAPALONGO: Not yet. 20 MR. NOLAN: We have taken different prototypes 21 for this plan. If a grocery comes in that has a prototype

for a 55,000 square foot building, then that is what we will change it to.

These are all preliminary drawings or conceptual in nature, but it is a pretty good concept.

MR. CAPALONGO: This is based on a real prototype for a real grocery store. We don't know yet which one is going to end up being -- we picked this one for now, mainly because it is probably the largest -- might be the largest square footage of the ones that will come in; but, once again, it will be massaged slightly depending on -- if this group doesn't come in and another one does, we will massage it slightly to make it all work with their prototype.

Yes, sir?

MR. FRANZKOWKSI: Yeah. I don't know if it's feasible or not, but that left out on Belair Road, if you could move it down below where the pharmacy is, it would give you a little added safety.

MR. CAPALONGO: That was discussed. I discussed that earlier. We're not -- you know, we're not here to discuss that issue, that access. We are really showing it as a reference, and I'm sure there is reasons why it doesn't

1 work there. 2 MR. NOLAN: We will bring your comments to the 3 developer and to the County. MR. CAPALONGO: That's why we're doing this. It is 5 all on record. 6 MR. FRANCZKOWSKI: It's closer to McDonald's, but 7 farther away from Mountain Road. You would have a margin of 8 safety. MR. CAPALONGO: Yes, sir? 10 MR. WILLIAMS: My name is Dave Williams. 11 We are talking about traffic and safety concerns. Regarding same, has anyone given us a projected vehicle 12 count for usage of this property based on the tenants you 13 14 have in mind? 15 MR. NOLAN: No one has given it to you yet. 16 The traffic study was completed today. So, it's public 17 knowledge. It's available to anyone. 18 MR. WILLIAMS: So the number of means of egress 19 and ingress that you are showing there are based on what? 20 MR. NOLAN: As far as what the design is now? 21 MR. WILLIAMS: I'm looking at 152, the two ways

in and out on 152, and one on Route 1. I mean,

MR. NOLAN: That's been based on some conversations we have had with the traffic consultant, but we have not seen the completed report yet, unless you did.

MR. CAPALONGO: No.

MR. NOLAN: We have not seen the completed report yet; but, like I said, they were completing it today.

As far as -- you know, we give the traffic consultant the general locations of where we think the access points should be for the site design aspects, and they take a look at it from a traffic standpoint. They don't design the right turn, right in, right out. They don't design the line striping. They tell us what it should be, and we design it.

Yes, sir?

MR. KIPER: Based on your experience -- and I am not trying to nail you down, but it's just a general question. If that entrance with the McDonald's wasn't capable of handling more flow than the two properties it was originally designed for and we can't get a further increase

when you pull out of it, would you still develop
the property, meaning -- and I'm asking for the developer's
input -- by cutting those two off and making the other two
egresses primary then for those other three properties,
those other three buildings.

Can that be done?

MR. NOLAN: As far as separating the --

MR. KIPER: We are talking about connecting in, using this egress that has currently been approved for the McDonald's and the CVS or whoever, the pharmacy. If it can't be improved to the point where it can handle additional vehicular movements from the other building, can we cut it off?

MR. NOLAN: The State Highway has done that in the past.

MR. KIPER: Okay. I am asking from personal experience.

MR. CAPALONGO: Anyone else?

MS. GARD: My question is on the State impact fee for removing all the trees that -- that was there. Was an impact feet and do, as a citizen -- you just got to

1 take the trees out? 2 MR. NOLAN: No. The trees were -- there was a 3 forest conservation plan done for these first two lots, and 4 the trees that were removed were done in accordance with 5 that plan. 6 MS. GARD: So a portion of them will be replaced? 7 MR. NOLAN: Well, there is going to be landscaping 8 but, as far as the forest conservation plan, there's certain 9 requirements for retention and, because of the amount of trees in this environmentally sensitive area, that pretty 10 much meets the retention requirements. 11 12 MS. GARD: So they won't have to replace those. 13 MR. NOLAN: That is correct. I think we are going 14 to kicked out of the library. 15 MR. CAPALONGO: Yeah. They just came in and said 16 wrap it up. So, thank you for coming tonight, and we'll 17 keep you in the loop. Thank you all. 18 (The meeting was concluded at 7:54 p.m.) 19 20

21

1 CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC/REPORTER 2 STATE OF MARYLAND, 3 COUNTY OF HARFORD, to wit; 4 I, Penny L. Comeau, do hereby certify that the 5 within proceedings were recorded stenographically by me and then transcribed from my notes in a true and accurate manner 6 to the best of my knowledge, ability and belief. 7 I further certify that I am not related to any of 9 the parties to this proceeding and have no interest in its 10 outcome. As witness, my Hand and Notarial Seal this 28th day 11 of December, 2009, in Bel Air, Maryland. 12 13 14 15 16 Penny L. Comeau, Notary Public 17 18 My Commission expires 4-21-12. 19 20

21